

# NED University of Engineering & Technology University Road, Karachi-75270, Pakistan

Tel #: 92-21-99261261-8, Ext.: 2471 & 2501 Fax #: 92-21-99261255 E-mail: adfp2@neduet.edu.pk Website: https://www.neduet.edu.pk



#### **Assistant Director Procurement**

### "SAY NO TO CORRUPTION"

No: PC/NED/CS-143720/ 2-3 8 L Dated: 12.11.2021

## LETTER OF INTENT

To,

M/s. AL Majid International Co Suite # Al-Amna Plaza Ground Floor M.A. Jinnah Road Karachi

Tel: 92-21-32780013

e-mail: almajidinternationalco@gmail.com

Subject:

Letter of Intent / Import of 3D Imager for Department of Computer and Information

System Engineering

Reference:

Our Tender No. PC/NED/CS/3D Imager/7058/2021

Dear Sir,

The Competent Authority of this University has been pleased to award the following items to your firm for Import of 3D Imager for Department of Computer and Information System Engineering NEDUET, Karachi.

Details as follows:

B.O.Q		Otto	Pr	Price	
Item No.	Description of items	Qty.	Unit	Total	
01	Specification attached of BOQ Item			USD <b>72,650.00</b>	
			Total USD	72,650.00	

It is advised to furnish the Proforma Invoice of your Principal i.e. **M/s. Health Spotlight Inc** for total C&F value by Sea Karachi should be valid for 120 days, within 10 days after issuance of this Letter of Intent.

Further, the aforesaid documents together with Performance Guarantee @ 5% and Contract Agreement duly paid Stamp Duty @ 0.25% of total contract value to be furnished in the office of ADP-II, Procurement Cell within 14 days of receipt of the Letter of Intent.

Thanking you and assuring you of our best cooperation at all the times.

With regards,

Assistant Director Procurement - II

Copy (via email) to:

CSD

ii.

DF

Ag. RA

iii.

## Proforma Invoice

Page ..... 1 of 3



otlight Inc. • 95 Grohmans Lane • Plainview, NY 11803 • USA • 6 3041755 ~ E-mail : sales@healthspotlight.us

NED University of Engineering and Technology. Main University Road Karachi Pakistan

Attn..: Director Procurement

e the following information to wire funds from a bank outside of the United States to Health Spotlight, Inc.:

ficiary Bank:

JP Morgan Chase Bank

ficiary Address: 6103 Spring Field Blvd. Oakland Gardens NY USA

T Code: t to Account: ing / ABA:

CHASEUS33 0133988722 021000021

Health Spotlight Inc.

ficiary Name:

67 Colony Lane Syosset 11791 NY USA

HS	0518	/	
oV	Description	Qty	Total Price USD
	3d Imager / 3D Scanner (Z+F IMAGER 5010C or similar 3D Laser Scanner kit or equivalent items) Following items with mentioned specs are included in the scanner/imager package	01 Set Package	72,650/-
	Multi-band RTK GNSS receiver with centimeter precision (Qty 2 No.)		
	For surveying, mapping and navigation. Comes with a mobile app.  Product Highlights		
	<ul> <li>REACH RS2 Multi-band RTK GNSS Receiver</li> <li>Features a fixed solution in just seconds</li> </ul>		/ 6
	<ul> <li>Offers centimeter accuracy on distances over 60 km in RTK</li> <li>Its RINEX raw data logs are compatible with PPP services (e.g. OPUS, CSRS-PPP, AUSPOS)</li> </ul>		
	Has a built-in 3.5G modem		
	RTK high precision GNSS module ZED-F9P module GNSS board Output RTCM NMEA TOP906 RTK GPS Base UAV UGV module (Qty 2 No.)		Jack (
	Product Highlights  REACH RS2 Multi-band RTK GNSS Receiver		14/09/27
	Features a fixed solution in just seconds		Apro
	Offers centimeter accuracy on distances over 60 km in RTK		, ,

Its RINEX raw data logs are compatible with PPP services (e.g. OPUS, CSRS-PPP, AUSPOS) Has a built-in 3.5G modem



pullphtlinc. • 95 Grohmans Lane • Plainview, NY 11803 • USA • 15 804 755 ~ E-mail : sales@healthspotlight.us

			Qty	Total Price USD
1 804 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Description  VELODYNE ALPHA  Best horizontal  10% targets >3  5% targets >18  Ground plane I  High resolution  Industry-leadin  mitigation  Strong perform  New power eff  Proven, Class 1  Bottom connective Vehicle  Model: LEO  controller:72V  Brake system:  Front/rear tire  Range per cha  Battery:72V12  Max Speed 50  Front/rear tire  Loading capace	Om typical nits >90m typical n (0.2° x 0.1°) and point density at full frame rate ng, proprietary sensor-to-sensor interference nance with retro reflectors & sunlight ficiencies for maximum operating temperature new-safe 903 nm technology ctor, with cable length options (Qty 1No)  SKW front: disc/rear: drum 1:165/55/R15 1:ge/km:120-140 1:0AH 1-55 (km/hr) 1:165/55/R15 1:ty/kg: 4 persons 1:tery and Tyres Included	Qty	Total Price USD
	Additional Electric Golf Car 4 Motor Battery Charger Transaxle Ground clearance Tread Distance Net Weight Forward Speed Breaking Distance Turning Radius	Seats or better (Qty 1No)  48V/4000W  8V*6  Computer intelligent charge Continuously Variable transaxle  110mm  front 900mm / rear 1000mm  600kg 25km/h <6m 2.9m		

tlight Inc

95 Grohmans Lane • Plainview, NY 11803 • USA • E-mail : sales@healthspotlight.us

Page ..... 3 of 3

-intion	disaline /	Qty	<b>Total Price USD</b>
Description  NVIDIA Jetson AGX Xavier Developer GPU:512-core Volta GPU wit CPU: 8-core ARM v8.2 64-bit  NVIDIA Jetson Nano Developer Kit (L GPU: 128-core NVIDIA Maxw CPU: Quad-core ARM® A57 C Memory: 4 GB 64-bit LPDDR4	rest Version) 4GB (Qty 2No)  MGPU		
NVIDIA Jetson Xavier NX Developer  GPU: NVIDIA Volta architectu and 48 Tensor cores  CPU: 6-core NVIDIA Carme + 4 MB L3  Memory: 8 GB 128-bit LPD	e with 384 NVIDIA CUDA® cores		

nt Terms: Net in USD (\$) by irrevocable Letter of Credit

ayable at sight. y Terms: CFR Karachi by Consolidated Freight.

me: 60 Days ges is included

ues Attached for Reference

72,650. -Total CFR in \$ (USD)

# SINDH PUBLIC PROCUREMENT REGULATORY AUTHORITY

## CONTRACT EVALUATION FORM

# TO BE FILLED IN BY ALL PROCURING AGENCIES FOR PUBLIC CONTRACTS OF WORKS, SERVICES & GOODS

		NED UNIVERSITY
1)	NAME OF THE ORGANIZATION / DEPTT.	
2)	PROVINCIAL / LOCAL GOVT./ OTHER	PROVINCIAL
3)	TITLE OF CONTRACT	Import of 3D Imager
4)	TENDER NUMBER	PC/NED/CS/3D Imager/7058/2021
5)	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF CONTRACT	Import of 3D Imager
6)	FORUM THAT APPROVED THE SCHEME	Syndicate
7)	TENDER ESTIMATED VALUE	12.162 MILLION
8)	ENGINEER'S ESTIMATE (For civil works only)	120 days
9)	ESTIMATED COMPLETION PERIOD (AS PI	To the property of the propert
10)	TENDER OPENED ON (DATE & TIME)	14-09-2021 10:30 AM
11)	NUMBER OF TENDER DOCUMENTS SOLD (Attach list of buyers)	) 04
12)	NUMBER OF BIDS RECEIVED	04
13)	NUMBER OF BIDDERS PRESENT AT THE	TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS 04
	BID EVALUATION REPORT	PROVIDED
14)	(Enclose a copy)	M/s. AL Majid International
15)	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE SUCCESSF	UL BIDDER MAS. AL Majid International
16)	CONTRACT AWARD PRICE	Rs. 12,161,610/-
17)	RANKING OF SUCCESSFUL BIDDER IN EV (i.e. 1 <sup>st</sup> , 2 <sup>nd</sup> , 3 <sup>rd</sup> EVALUATION BID).	ALUATION REPORT The Most Advantageous Bid
18)	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT USED: - (Tid	ck one)
	a) SINGLE STAGE – ONE ENVELOPE	PROCEDURE YES Domestic/ Local
	b) SINGLE STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE	PROCEDURE
	c) TWO STAGE BIDDING PROCEDUR	E
	d) TWO STAGE – TWO ENVELOPE BI	DDING PROCEDURE
	PLEASE SPECIFY IF ANY OTHER EMERGENCY, DIRECT CONTRACTING	METHOD OF PROCUREMENT WAS ADOPTED i.e.



19) APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CONTRACT  20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?  Yes V No  21) ADVERTISEMENT:  i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)  ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)  (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)  22) NATURE OF CONTRACT  23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED Yes No  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS TECHNICALLY Yes No  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  Yes No  NO  YES NO  YES NO  NO  YES NO  YES NO  YES NO  YES NO  YES NO								
20) WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDED IN ANNUAL PROCUREMENT PLAN?  Yes   v   No    10   SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)  11   News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)  12   NATURE OF CONTRACT  13   WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  14   WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  15   WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  16   WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  17   WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  18   WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  19   WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  10   WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Altere copy of the bid was pustion report)								
21) ADVERTISEMENT:  i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)  ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)  22) NATURE OF CONTRACT  23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Altack copy of the bid avaluation report)	19)	APPROVING AUTHORITY FOR AWARD OF CON	TRACT					
21) ADVERTISEMENT:  i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)  ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)  22) NATURE OF CONTRACT  23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  26) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes V No COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ GUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Alter) copy of the bid evaluation report)	20)	WHETHER THE PROCUREMENT WAS INCLUDE	D IN AN	NUAL PRO	CUREN	MENT P	LAN?	
i) SPPRA Website (If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  N					Yes	V	lo	
i) SPPRA Website ((If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  N	21)	ADVERTISEMENT:						
(If yes, give date and SPPRA Identification No.)  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  N		i) SDDD A Website	Ye	S T00553-20	-0157			
ii) News Papers (If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)  Yes Daily Jang, Dawn & Kawish dated: 07-08-2021  22) NATURE OF CONTRACT  23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  Yes V No  24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  Yes No  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  Yes No  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in ease of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  Yes No  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attack conv of the bid evaluation report)		TALL 01 MARK TO THE RESERVE THE TELEPHONE TH	o.)				E4	
(If yes, give names of newspapers and dates)  22) NATURE OF CONTRACT  23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes V No  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attack copy of the bid evaluation report)			No					
22) NATURE OF CONTRACT  23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attack copy of the bid evaluation report)			Ye			Kawish d	lated:	
22) NATURE OF CONTRACT  23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attack power of the bid evaluation report)		(1. jes, g. e				<del></del>		
23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Altach copy of the bid evaluation report)			No	1				
23) WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach conv.of the bid evaluation report)	22)	NATURE OF CONTRACT				V 1	nt.	
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes No No COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	22)	THE COLUMN TO TH			Local			
(If yes, enclose a copy)  24) WHETHER BID EVALUATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS? (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)	23)	WHETHER QUALIFICATION CRITERIA WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUM	IENTS?					
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)					Yes	VN	lo	
WAS INCLUDED IN BIDDING / TENDER DOCUMENTS?  (If yes, enclose a copy)  25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  (Attach copy of the bid evaluation report)			y A					
25) WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHORITY WAS OBTAINED FOR USING A METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  26) WAS BID SECURITY OBTAINED FROM ALL THE BIDDERS?  27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  (Attack conv of the bid evaluation report)	24)		IENTS?		Yes	VN	lo	
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  Yes No  No  Yes No  Yes No  Yes No  Yes No  Yes No  No  WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  Yes No  No  Yes No  No  No  Yes No  No  No  No  No  No  No  Yes No		(If yes, enclose a copy)						
METHOD OTHER THAN OPEN COMPETITIVE BIDDING?  Yes No  No  Yes No  Yes No  No  Yes No  No  Yes No  No  WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  Yes No  No  Yes No	25)	WHETHER APPROVAL OF COMPETENT AUTHO	RITY W	AS OBTAI	NED FO	R USIN	G A	
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach conv of the bid evaluation report)	/				6.7			~
27) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWEST EVALUATED BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT? (Attach conv of the bid evaluation report)	26)	WAS DID SECUDITY OF AINED FROM ALL TH	E DIDDE	DC9				
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes V No COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  (Attack conv of the bid evaluation report)	20)	WAS BID SECURIT I OBTAINED PROM ALL THE	L DIDDI	aks.	Yes	N	No	
BID / BEST EVALUATED BID (in case of Consultancies)  28) WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BIDDER WAS TECHNICALLY Yes V No COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  (Attack conv of the bid evaluation report)	27)	WHETHER THE SUCCESSFUL BID WAS LOWES	T EVAL	UATED	Yes	V	No I	
COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  Yes No  No  CONTRACT?  (Attack conv of the bid evaluation report)	,							
COMPLIANT?  29) WHETHER NAMES OF THE BIDDERS AND THEIR QUOTED PRICES WERE READ OUT AT THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  Yes No  No  CONTRACT?  (Attack conv of the bid evaluation report)	20)	WHETHER THE SUCCESSELL BIDDER WAS TEN	CHNICA	HV	Yes	V	Vo I	
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  Yes No  No  No  No  (Attach conv of the bid evaluation report)	20)		JIIIICA	LLI	100	ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ		
THE TIME OF OPENING OF BIDS?  Yes No  No  No  No  (Attach conv of the bid evaluation report)								
30) WHETHER EVALUATION REPORT GIVEN TO BIDDERS BEFORE THE AWARD OF CONTRACT?  (Attack copy of the bid evaluation report)	29)		EIR QUO	OTED PRIC			T	TA.
CONTRACT? (Attack conv of the hid evaluation report)		THE TIME OF OFERING OF BIBS.			Yes		10	
(Attach conv. of the hid evaluation report)	30)		TO BID	DERS BEF	FORE T	THE AV	WARD	OF
					Yes	VI	No T	

8	(If yes, result thereof)	Yes	2 111
		No	NO
	2) ANY DEVIATION FROM SPECIFICATIONS GIVEN IN T (If yes, give details)	THE T	ENDER NOTICE / DOCUMENTS
	(ii yes, give details)	Yes	
	AND A THE ENTENDING MADE IN DECRONOR TIMES	No	NO
	(If yes, give reasons)	Yes	
		No	NO
2	34) DEVIATION FROM QUALIFICATION CRITERIA (If yes, give detailed reasons.)	Yes	
		No	NO
,	85) WAS IT ASSURED BY THE PROCURING AGENCY (BLACK LISTED?	ТНАТ	THE SELECTED FIRM IS NOT Yes V No
i i	36) WAS A VISIT MADE BY ANY OFFICER/OFFICIAL OF SUPPLIER'S PREMISES IN CONNECTION WITH THE BE ASCERTAINED REGARDING FINANCING OF VISI (If yes, enclose a copy)	PROC	UREMENT? IF SO, DETAILS TO
ğ	37) WERE PROPER SAFEGUARDS PROVIDED ON MOB THE CONTRACT (BANK GUARANTEE ETC.)?	ILIZA	TION ADVANCE PAYMENT IN  Yes No
	38) SPECIAL CONDITIONS, IF ANY (If yes, give Brief Description)	Yes	
	ature & Official Stamp of NED University of East Control of State of East Control of East Cont	No	NO
	uthorized Officer NED University of the New York Control of the New York Contr	ii.	
	74, 121, 200		

<u>SPPRA, Block. No.8, Sindh Secretariat No.4-A, Court Road, Karachi</u> Tele: 021-9205356; 021-9205369 & Fax: 021-9206291

Print Save Reset

# **Integrity Pact**

# DECLARATION OF FEES, COMMISSION AND BROKERAGE ETC. PAYABLE BY THE SUPPLIERS/CONTRACTORS/CONSULTANTS.

Contract Number: PC NED (28/30   muger 7058   2021 Dated: 12/11/2021
Contract Value: Rs. 12,161,610/-
Contract Title: Impost of 30 Imager for C18 Depostment
[Name of Supplier/Contractor/Consultant] hereby declares that it has not obtained or induced the procurement of any contract, right, interest, privilege or other obligation or benefit from Government of Sindh (GoS) or any administrative subdivision or agency thereof or any other entity owned or controlled by it (GoS) through any corrupt business practice.  Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, [Name of Supplier/Contractor/Consultant] represents and warrants that it has fully declared the brokerage, commission, fees etc. paid or payable to anyone and not given or agreed to give and shall not give or agree to give to anyone within or outside Pakistan either directly or indirectly through any natural or juridical person, including its affiliate, agent, associate, broker, consultant, director, promoter, shareholder, sponsor or subsidiary, any commission, gratification, bribe, finder's fee or
kickback, whether described as consultation fee or otherwise, with the object of obtaining or inducing the procurement of a contract, right, interest, privilege or other obligation or benefit, in whatsoever form, from Procuring Agency (PA), except that which has been expressly declared pursuant hereto.
[Name of Supplier/Contractor/Consultant] certifies that it has made and will make full disclosure of all agreements and arrangements with all persons in respect of or related to the transaction with PA and has not taken any action or will not take any action to circumvent the above declaration, representation or warranty.
[Name of Supplier/Contractor/Consultant] accepts full responsibility and strict liability for making any false declaration, not making full disclosure, misrepresenting facts or taking any action likely to defeat the purpose of this declaration, representation and warranty. It agrees that any contract, right, interest, privilege or other obligation or benefit obtained or procured as aforesaid shall, without prejudice to any other right and remedies available to PA under any law, contract or other instrument, be voidable at the option of PA.
Name of Supplier/Contractor/Consultant] agrees to indemnify PA for any loss or damage incurred by it on account of its corrupt business practices and further pay compensation to PA in an amount equivalent to ten time the sum of any commission, gratification, bribe, finder's fee or kickback given by [Name of Supplier/Contractor/Consultant] as aforesaid for the purpose of obtaining or inducing the procurement of any contract, right, interest, privilege or other obligation or benefit, in whatsoever form, from PA.
[Procuring Agency] [Supplier /Contractor/Consultant]