

NED UNIVERSITY OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Work: - Hiring of Engineering Consultancy Firm for “Thar Institute of Engineering, Sciences and Technology-TIEST Tharparkar Phase-II works”

Tender Number	PC/NED/RFP/8571/2026
Selection Method	Quality and Cost Based Selection under Single Stage Two Envelope
Technical Evaluation by	Consultants Selection Committee - TIEST Phase II
Meeting Record	08 September 2026 Vice Chancellor's Committee Room

TECHNICAL EVALUATION

Consultant Selection Committee - TIEST Phase-II

October 2026

NED UNIVERSITY OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

1. CONTRACTUAL INFORMATION

1	Name of Procuring Agency	NED University of Engineering and Technology-Karachi
2	Method of Procurement	Quality and Cost Based Selection-QCBS (Single Stage Two Envelopes)
3	Name of Work	Hiring of Engineering Consultancy Firm for "Thar Institute of Engineering, Sciences and Technology-TIEST Tharparkar Phase-II works"
4	Tender Inquiry No.	PC/NED/RFP/8571/2026
5	SPPRA / EADS Reference No.	EPADS-S-26080814051
6	Date and Time of Proposal Closing	08.09.2026 / 10:00 A.M
7	Date and Time of Technical Proposal Opening	08.09.2026 / 10:30 A.M
8	Number of Technical Proposals Received	Seven (07)
9	Criteria for Technical Evaluation	RFP Technical Evaluation Criteria - 100 marks; minimum qualifying score 70
10	Details of Technical Proposal Evaluation	Summarized below; criterion-wise details follow

2. SUMMARY OF TECHNICAL PROPOSAL EVALUATION

Rank	Name of Bidder	Technical Score / 100	Remarks
1	NESPAK	91.875	Responsive
2	Atif Nazar / TACE	89.925	Responsive
3	ESS-I-AAR / Naqvi and Siddiquie Associates	N/A	Non-Responsive
4	IDG / IECMD	87.225	Responsive
5	Shahzad Associates	N/A	Non-Responsive
6	CAMEOS	N/A	Non-Responsive
7	MESA	N/A	Non-Responsive

CONCLUSION:

M/s NESPAK, M/s Atif Nazar / TACE and IDG / IECMD are determined Responsive and M/s ESS-I-AAR / Naqvi and Siddiquie Associates, Shahzad Associates, CAMEOS and MESA are determined Non-Responsive for the reasons as mentioned in Table No. 4 and RFP requirements as recorded in this report. MESA also did not achieve the minimum Technical Score of 70 marks.

Note: 3 Bidders are found Responsive and eligible for FB Opening.

4 Bidders are found Non-Responsive and ineligible for FB Opening.

The Bid Evaluation Report is a summary for publication as per detailed Technical Evaluation Report. The detailed report, criterion-wise workings, documentary examination records and proposal page references remain the controlling audit trail.

3. INTEGRATED SCORES STATEMENT (RESPONSIVE BIDDERS)

Description	Maximum Marks	NESPAK	Atif Nazar / TACE	IDG / IECMD
Consultant Experience	35	35.000	33.750	30.000
Approach, Methodology, Work Plan and Organization	30	27.500	27.000	28.000
Key Professional Staff	15	9.375	9.175	9.225
Average Annual Turnover	20	20.000	20.000	20.000
Total Technical Score	100	91.875	89.925	87.225
Minimum Qualifying Score	70	Pass	Pass	Pass
Responsiveness	-	Responsive	Responsive	Responsive

Qualification Basis. A Technical Score of at least 70 marks is necessary but does not cure a material deviation or failure of an eligibility requirement. The three consultants shown above met both the minimum score and the Committee's responsiveness examination.

RFP references. Data Sheet paragraphs 2(iv), 2(v) and 2(vi), RFP page 18; Technical Evaluation Criteria and minimum qualifying score, RFP page 21.

4. INTEGRATED REASON STATEMENT (NON-RESPONSIVE BIDDERS)

Consultant	Reasons of Non-Responsiveness	RFP Reference	Determination
ESS-I-AAR / Naqvi and Siddique Associates	Affidavit, authority and audited-account requirements were not established as per RFP Provisions.	Eligibility Criteria v and vii, page 5; Data Sheet 2(iv) and 2(vi), page 18	Non-Responsive
Shahzad Associates	Mandatory affidavit, proposal authority and required turnover evidence deficiencies.	Eligibility Criteria vi and vii, page 5; Data Sheet 2(iv) and 2(vi), page 18	Non-Responsive
CAMEOS	Mandatory affidavit, proposal authority and complete three-year audited statements were not established.	Eligibility Criteria v and vii, page 5; Data Sheet 2(iv) and 2(vi), page 18	Non-Responsive
MESA	Entity/registration, affidavit and audit evidence requirements not established; Technical Score below 70.	Eligibility Criteria ii, v and vii, page 5; Clause 18.1, page 14; Data Sheet 2(iv) and 2(vi), page 18; minimum score, page 21	Non-Responsive




The above scores are retained only as per the Technical Evaluation Criteria and do not convert a non-responsive proposal into a qualified proposal and do not override the eligibility criteria under the RFP Provisions.

5. PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION CONTROLS

Examination Area	Committee Application
Affidavit	Legal entity covered; stamp and execution particulars; deponent and authority; required declarations; Committee finding.
Authority and Signatory	Authority Letter or Power of Attorney; identity and capacity of Authorized Representative; execution and proposal-signature consistency.
Registration	PEC / PCATP or other applicable professional registration under the Eligibility Criteria.
Audited Accounts and Turnover	Complete required years; auditor's report; financial statements; entity/JV linkage; turnover calculation and authenticity of evidence.
Technical Evidence	Project scope, qualifying period, construction cost, completion/status evidence, staff qualification and relevant experience.

Authentication control. A scan or signature irregularity is not, by itself, a finding of fraud, forgery or tampering. Where necessary, the Committee may inspect originals or obtain permissible clarification to authenticate evidence existing at the submission deadline; clarification shall not create a missing mandatory document after that deadline.

6. CONSULTANT SELECTION COMMITTEE

Sr. No.	Name and designation	Capacity	Signature and date
1	Prof. Dr. Asad-Ur-Rehman Khan Dean, Faculty of Civil and Petroleum Engineering	Convener	
2	Mr. Salman Manzoor Hasan Assistant Professor/Architect Dept. of Arch. & Planning	Member	
3	Mr. Asif Khan Rajput Deputy Secretary Dev-II, Government of Sindh Nominee of Finance Department, attended online	Member	
4	<i>Kandhro</i> Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Khandro Assistant Chief, Education Section, Govt: of Sindh Nominee of P&D Department, attended online	Member	
5	Engr. Sadia Jabeen Civil Engineer, HEJ, University of Karachi	Member	
6	Ms. Sadaf Wajahat DDF Funds, Nominee of Director Finance, NED University Karachi.	Member	
7	Engr. Masood.A.Jamali Project Director TIEST	Co-opted Member	
8	Engr. Haseeb Ansari Additional Director Planning and Development	Secretary	<i>Haseeb Ansari</i>