



BID EVALUATION REPORT

1. Name of Procuring Agency: **NED University of Engineering and Technology, Karachi**
2. Tender Reference No: **PC/NED/HPCC/Computer/6667/18**
3. Tender Description/Name of work/item: **Procurement of Computers**
4. Method of Procurement: **Single Stage One Envelope**
5. Tender Published: **PPMS # T00553-17-0098**
6. Total Bid documents Sold; **Seven (07)**
7. Total Bids Received: **Seven (07)**
8. Technical Bid Opening date: (if applicable) _____ (Provide details in separate form)
9. No. of Bid technically qualified (if applicable):
10. Bid(s) Rejected:
11. Financial Bid Opening date: **11-09-2018**

12. Bid Evaluation Report:

<i>Final Ranking</i>	<i>Name of Firm or Bidder</i>	<i>Cost offered by the Bidder (Rupees)</i>	<i>Ranking in terms of cost</i>	<i>Comparison with Estimated cost</i>	<i>Reasons for Acceptance</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
01	M/s. Fatemi Impex	Rs. 909,000/-	01	Within approved Budget Allocation	Technically Lowest Evaluated Responsive Bidder; Firm quoted Rs. 1,010,000/- for quantity Nos. 10. However, Procurement Committee recommended quantity Nos. 9, due to budget constraint.	Technically Lowest Evaluated Responsive Bidder; Firm quoted Rs. 1,010,000/- for quantity Nos. 10. However, Procurement Committee recommended quantity Nos. 9, due to budget constraint.
02	M/s. Generation System	Rs. 1,109,720/-	02	-----	Technically Responsive bidder but Firm quoted High Price	Technically Responsive bidder but Firm quoted High Price in BOQ Item
03	M/s. Vorson (Pvt.) Ltd.	Rs. 1,129,380/-	03	-----	Technically Responsive bidder but Firm quoted High Price	Technically Responsive bidder but Firm quoted High Price in BOQ Item
04	M/s. Total Network Solution	Rs. 1,591,200/-	04	-----	Technically Responsive bidder but Firm quoted High Price	Technically Responsive bidder but Firm quoted High Price in BOQ Item
05	M/s. Texitech	Rs. 990,360/-	05	-----	Technically Non-responsive bidder: Firm has quoted 19.5" LCD Display Whereas 20" LCD display was required as per bidding documents. Hence, not recommended by the Procurement Committee	Technically Non-responsive bidder: Firm has quoted 19.5" LCD Display Whereas 20" LCD display was required as per bidding documents. Hence, not recommended by the Procurement Committee


P. 84/2

06	M/s. Faiz Scientific Company	Rs. 991,100/-	06	----	Technically Non-responsive bidder: Firm has quoted 19.5" LCD Display Whereas 20" LCD display was required as per bidding documents. Hence, not recommended by the Procurement Committee	Technically Non-responsive bidder: Firm has quoted 19.5" LCD Display Whereas 20" LCD display was required as per bidding documents. Hence, not recommended by the Procurement Committee
07	M/s. Home System	Rs. 1,037,500/-	07	----	Technically Non-responsive bidder: Firm has quoted 18.5" LCD Display Whereas 20" LCD display was required as per bidding documents. Hence, not recommended by the Procurement Committee	Technically Non-responsive bidder: Firm has quoted 18.5" LCD Display Whereas 20" LCD display was required as per bidding documents. Hence, not recommended by the Procurement Committee

Signatures of the Members of the Committee.



Fawad Ul Hasan Kamran
Assistant Director Procurement -II
NEDUET, Karachi
Member
Procurement Committee



M. Mabroor Khan
Administrative Officer
CEMB,
University of Karachi.
Member,
Procurement Committee



Dr. Muhammad Ali Ismail
In-Charge HPCC
NEDUET
Convener
Procurement Committee